arXiv:1211.6700v1 [math.GR] 28 Nov 2012

Trees of Fusion Systems

Jason P. G. Semeraro

We define a 'Tree of Fusion Systems' and give a sufficient condition for its completion to be saturated. It is hoped that this will lead to new methods of searching for exotic fusion systems.

;

1 Introduction

Saturated fusion systems have come into prominence during the course of the last two decades and may be viewed as a convenient language in which to study discrete objects 'at a particular prime.' The original idea is due to Puig in [10], although our notation and terminology more closely follows that of Broto, Levi and Oliver in [2]. The area is now well established and has attracted wide interest from researchers in group theory, topology and representation theory.

Formally, a fusion system on a finite p-group S is a category $\mathcal{F}$ whose objects consist of all subgroups of S and where for $P, Q \leqslant S$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ is a set of injective group homomorphisms containing all homomorphisms which are induced by conjugation by elements of S. Saturation is an additional property, which is satisfied by many 'naturally occurring' fusion systems.

A good place to look for examples is the category of groups. If G is a group and S is a finite p-subgroup of G, we say that S is a *Sylow p-subgroup of G* if every finite p-subgroup of G is G-conjugate to a subgroup of S. One naturally associates a fusion system to the pair (G, S) in the following way: Write $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ for the fusion system on S whose morphisms consist of homomorphisms between subgroups induced by G-conjugation. By Sylow's Theorem, each finite group G possesses a Sylow p-subgroup S and it is a fact that $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ is always saturated in this case.

It is now known, by results of Leary–Stancu [6] and Robinson [8], that to each fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ on S one can associate a group G with $S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$. If all such G fulfilling this criteria turn out to be infinite then we call $\mathcal{F}$ *exotic*, and *realisable* otherwise. The present paper is motivated by results which give conditions on infinite groups (with a Sylow p-subgroup) to support a saturated fusion system; usually as a means of constructing interesting (exotic) examples.

Such groups naturally arise in the context of Bass–Serre theory: Let p be a prime number and $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ be a finite tree of finite groups (in the sense of [9, Definition 4.4.8]) and denote by G_v and G_e the groups assigned to a vertex v and edge e of $\mathcal{T}$ respectively (a precise definition is given in Section 3). Our first result extends a theorem of Robinson [8, Theorem 1] which gives conditions for the completion $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ of $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ to have a Sylow p-subgroup and characterises it's fusion system:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ be a tree of finite groups and choose $S_v \in Syl_p(G_v)$ and $S_e \in Syl_p(G_e)$ in such a way that $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ is also a tree of finite groups. Write $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ for the completion of $\mathcal{T}$. Fix a vertex v_* of $\mathcal{T}$ and assume that $p \nmid (|G_v|/|G_e|)$ for each vertex v in $\mathcal{T}$, where e is the unique edge incident to v in the minimal path from v to v_*. The following hold:*

- *(a) S_{v_*} is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{T}}$.*
- *(b) If $S_e \trianglelefteq G_e$ for each edge $e \in E(\mathcal{T})$ then*

$$\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}}) = \langle \mathcal{F}_{S_v}(G_v) \mid v \text{ is a vertex of } \mathcal{T} \rangle_{S_{v_*}}.$$

Note that if $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of fusion systems then $\langle \mathcal{F}_i \rangle_S$ denotes the smallest fusion system on S which contains each $\mathcal{F}_i$. An interesting question is

When is $\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}})$ a saturated fusion system on S_{v_*}?

A partial answer to this question is given in [3]. In addition to the existence of a 'special vertex' v_* satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, one makes the following two assumptions, and proves that they imply the saturation of $\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}})$. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ denotes the *orbit graph of $\mathcal{T}$*, which we describe in Section 3.

Writing $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}})$,

- (i) for all $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, each conjugate of a G_v-essential subgroup is $\mathcal{F}$-centric;
- (ii) if P is $\mathcal{F}$-centric then $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P/C_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}(P)$ is a tree.

The proof begins by showing that $\mathcal{F}$ is 'generated' by the G_v-essential subgroups for all $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$. This means that every morphism in $\mathcal{F}$ can be written as a composite of restrictions of G_v-automorphisms. (i) now guarantees that we can apply a well known result of Puig [10, Theorem 3.8] which says that whenever a fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups, checking that $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated amounts to verifying the axioms only for $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups. (ii) is a technical condition on $(G,\mathcal{T})$ which turns out to be enough to force the saturation axioms to hold.

The goal of the present paper is to extend all of these concepts to arbitrary saturated fusion systems. Thus we are interested in the notion of a 'tree of saturated fusion systems' and in finding conditions for the completion of such an object to be saturated. Loosely, one defines a tree of fusion systems in a similar way to how one defines a tree of groups: One assigns to each vertex v and edge e of the tree respectively fusion systems $\mathcal{F}_v$ and $\mathcal{F}_e$ on finite p-groups S_v and S_e, and insists that the obvious compatibility conditions hold between categories. The *completion* $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ of a tree of fusion systems $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ is the fusion system generated by all fusion systems associated to vertices in the tree.

A problem that one encounters when attempting such a generalisation is that there is no obvious analogue of the space $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ and hence no $\mathbb{F}_p$-acyclic complex in which to apply a result like [3, Theorem 3.3]. Fortunately, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P/C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P)$ has a more fusion-theoretic description (see [3, Lemma 4.1]) and it is this that we use as a starting point for our definition of the graph $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ constructed in Section 3. There we prove that this graph is always connected and that a path represents a compatible sequence of morphisms in $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$.

Our main theorem may be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ be a tree of saturated fusion systems and write $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ for the completion of $\mathcal{T}$. Fix a vertex v_* of $\mathcal{T}$ and assume that*

- *(a) $S_v \cong S_e$ for each vertex v in $\mathcal{T}$, where e is the unique edge incident to v in the minimal path from v to v_*,*
- *(b) if P is $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate to an $\mathcal{F}_v$-essential subgroup then P is $\mathcal{F}$-centric, and*
- *(c) $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathcal{F}(P,\mathcal{T})$ is a tree for all $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups $P \leqslant S$.*

Then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{T}$ is a saturated fusion system on S_{v_*}.

Theorem 1.2 may be understood as a generalisation of a special case of [3, Theorem 4.2] namely the case where each edge group has a normal Sylow p-subgroup. Indeed, by Theorem 1.1(b) the completion of the tree of fusion systems and the fusion system of the completion of groups coincide, so one only needs to make the observation that $\mathrm{Rep}_\mathcal{F}(P,\mathcal{T})$ can be realised as a subgraph of $\tilde{T}^P/C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P)$ (see [3, Lemma 4.1]).

Notice, however, that no mention is made of the existence of a centric linking system associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$[1]; this statement still holds, but now as a consequence of the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (see [4]).

[3, Theorem 4.2] is most commonly applied when searching for new exotic fusion systems. [3, Proposition 5.1] is an example of how to build a new category from the fusion system $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$ of a finite group G, $(S \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G))$ by attaching p'-automorphisms of minimal centric subgroups and constructing a 'star of groups' in a particular way. Most recently this technique has been used in [7] to begin the classification of reduced fusion systems on p-groups with a unique abelian subgroup at index p.

It is hoped that Theorem 1.2 will lead to more general techniques than those which currently exist for searching for exotic examples and provide the first steps towards a purely abstract study of trees of fusion systems. For example, a topic for further study might be to find conditions which determine when a given saturated fusion system arises as a non-trivial completion of a tree of fusion systems. This is discussed in of [9, Section I.6] for groups where it is shown that a group G is not an amalgam if $\mathcal{T}^G \neq \emptyset$ for any tree $\mathcal{T}$ on which G acts.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2 we define precisely what is meant by a fusion system and saturation, and state some relevant facts for our discussion. In Section 3 we define trees of groups and trees of fusion systems and prove Theorem 1.1. Then in Section 4 we give a proof of Theorem 1.2, before discussing how our results might be improved.

We make some brief remarks about notation: Conjugation maps and group homomorphisms will always act on the right and p will denote a fixed prime number throughout.

2 Background on Fusion Systems

We begin with a precise definition of what is meant by a fusion system. For any group S and $P, Q \leqslant S$ we write $\mathrm{Hom}_S(P,Q)$ for the set of homomorphisms from P to Q induced by conjugation by elements of S and $\mathrm{Inj}(P,Q)$ for the set of monomorphisms from P to Q.

Definition 2.1 Let S be a finite p-group. A *fusion system on* S is a category $\mathcal{F}$ whose set of objects is exactly the set of all subgroups of S, and where for each $P, Q \leqslant S$:

---

[1] In the proof of [3, Theorem 4.1], the authors are able to show that the category $\mathcal{L}_S^c(G_{\mathcal{T}})$ is a centric linking system associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$.

(a) $\mathrm{Hom}_S(P,Q) \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \subseteq \mathrm{Inj}(P,Q)$; and

(b) each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ can be factorised as an $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism $P \to P\varphi$ followed by an inclusion $P \hookrightarrow S$.

The following definition provides some basic notation and lists several important properties of subgroups of a fusion system which will be used throughout:

Definition 2.2 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a finite p-group S and let $P, Q \leqslant S$.

(a) Write $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ for the set of $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphisms from P to Q in $\mathcal{F}$ and define $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$:=$\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,P)$.

(b) P and Q are said to be *$\mathcal{F}$-conjugate* whenever $\mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \neq \emptyset$ and we write $P^{\mathcal{F}}$ for the set of all $\mathcal{F}$-conjugates of P.

(c) We say that P is *fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised* respectively *fully $\mathcal{F}$-centralised* if for each $R \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$, the inequality

$$|N_S(P)| \geqslant |N_S(R)| \text{ respectively } |C_S(P)| \geqslant |C_S(R)|$$

holds. We also write $\mathcal{F}^f$ and $\mathcal{F}^z$ for the sets of fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised and fully $\mathcal{F}$-centralised subgroups of S respectively.

(d) We say that P is *fully $\mathcal{F}$-automised* if $\mathrm{Aut}_S(P) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P))$.

(e) For each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,S)$, define

$$N_\varphi = N_\varphi^{\mathcal{F}} := \{g \in N_S(P) \mid \varphi^{-1} \circ c_g \circ \varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_S(P\varphi)\},$$

where$c_g \in \mathrm{Aut}_S(P)$ is the automorphism induced by conjugation by g.

Next, we define what it means for a fusion system to be saturated:

Definition 2.3 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a finite p-group S. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is *saturated* if

(a) Whenever $P \leqslant S$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised, it is fully $\mathcal{F}$-centralised and fully $\mathcal{F}$-automised.

(b) For all $P \leqslant S$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,S)$ such that $P\varphi$ is fully $\mathcal{F}$-centralised, there is $\overline{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_\varphi, S)$ such that $\overline{\varphi}|_P = \varphi$.

Note that by $\overline{\varphi}|_P$ we mean the *restriction* of the map $\overline{\varphi}$ to P.

It is a standard fact in group theory that each group has a presentation in terms of generators and relations, and it is often desirable that the number of these is as small as possible. In the context of saturated fusion systems we would like to restrict the number of $\mathcal{F}$-morphisms we need to consider in order to understand all of them:

Definition 2.4 Let S be a finite p-group, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a collection of injective maps between subgroups of S. Denote by $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_S$ the smallest fusion system on S containing all maps which lie in $\mathcal{C}$.

It turns out that in order to describe a saturated fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ on S it suffices to list a certain set of subgroups whose collection $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{F}$-automorphisms 'generate' the entire system in the sense that $\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_S$. In other words, every $\mathcal{F}$-morphism is the composite of restrictions of such automorphisms.

We define these 'essential' subgroups now before stating this result (which is called 'Alperin's Fusion Theorem') more precisely. Recall that a proper subgroup H of a group G is *strongly p-embedded in G* if $p \mid |H|$ and $p \nmid |H \cap H^g|$ for each $g \in G \backslash H$.

Definition 2.5 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a finite p-group S and $P \leqslant S$.

(a) We say that P is *S-centric* if $C_S(P) = Z(P)$ and that P is *$\mathcal{F}$-centric* if Q is S-centric for each $Q \in P^{\mathcal{F}}$. Write $\mathcal{F}^c$ for the set of all $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups of S.

(b) Write $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P) := \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)/\mathrm{Inn}(P)$. Then P is said to be *$\mathcal{F}$-essential* if P is $\mathcal{F}$-centric and $\mathrm{Out}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ contains a strongly p-embedded subgroup. We denote by $\mathcal{F}^{fe}$ the set of fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised, $\mathcal{F}$-essential subgroups.

Alperin's Fusion Theorem can now be stated:

Theorem 2.6 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system on a finite p-group S. Then*

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{F}^{fe} \cup \{S\}} Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \rangle_S.$$

Proof This is shown in [1, Theorem 3.5]. □

Observe in particular that every fusion system $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by the automorphisms of its $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups. If this is already known to hold for an arbitrary fusion system, then one can reduce the amount of work needed in order to check that such a system is saturated as follows:

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a finite p-group S. If*

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{F}^c} Aut_{\mathcal{F}}(P) \rangle_S$$

then $\mathcal{F}$ is saturated if (a) and (b) in Definition 2.3 hold for all $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups P of S.

Proof This is shown in [10, Theorem 3.8]. □

Theorems 2.6 and 2.7 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3 Trees of Groups and Fusion Systems

3.1 Definitions and Basic Results

First we introduce some terminology for later use. If $\mathcal{T}$ is a graph, $v, w \in V(\mathcal{T})$ and e is an edge of $\mathcal{T}$ connecting v to w we will frequently write $v \sim_e$, $v \sim_e w$ etc. to describe incidence relations between vertices and edges. Let $\mathfrak{Grp}$ denote the category of groups and homomorphisms.

Definition 3.1 A *tree of groups* is a triple $(\mathcal{T}, G, f)$ where

(i) $\mathcal{T}$ is a tree,

(ii) G is a map $G : V(\mathcal{T}) \cup E(\mathcal{T}) \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Grp})$, and

(iii) f sends each pair $(e, v) \in \{(e, v) \mid v \sim_e\}$ to a group monomorphism $f(e, v) \in \mathrm{Inj}(G(e), G(v))$.

We will usually abbreviate $(\mathcal{T}, G, f)$ to $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ and write f_{ev}, G_e and G_v for $f(e, v), G(e)$ and $G(v)$ respectively.

The *completion* $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ of a tree of groups $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ is given by the amalgamated free product of the G_v modulo relations induced by the embeddings of G_e into the groups G_w where w is a vertex incident to e.

Before defining a tree of fusion systems, it is necessary to understand what is meant by a *morphism of fusion systems:*

Definition 3.2 Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be fusion systems on finite p-groups S and T respectively. A *morphism* from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{E}$ is a pair (Φ, φ) where $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(S, T)$ and Φ is a functor from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{E}$ which sends each $P \leqslant S$ to $P\varphi$ and satisfies the equality

$$\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \Phi(\varphi)$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ and $P, Q \leqslant S$.

In particular, we may speak of the category $\mathfrak{Fus}$ of fusion systems on p-groups.

Recall that a functor is said to be *injective* if it is injective on all morphism sets.

Definition 3.3 Fix a prime number, p. A *tree of fusion systems* is a quintuple $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S,f,F)$, where:

(i) $(\mathcal{T},S,f)$ is a tree of finite p-groups;

(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ is a map $\mathcal{F}:V(\mathcal{T})\cup E(\mathcal{T})\to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Fus})$ such that:

  (a) $\mathcal{F}(v)$ is a fusion system on $S(v)$ for each $v\in V(\mathcal{T})$ and

  (b) $\mathcal{F}(e)$ is a fusion system on $S(e)$ for each $e\in E(\mathcal{T})$;

(iii) F sends each pair $(e,v)\in\{(e,v)\mid v\sim_e\}$ to an injective functor $F(e,v)$ so that $(F(e,v),f(e,v))$ is a morphism of fusion systems from $\mathcal{F}(e)$ to $\mathcal{F}(v)$.

We will usually abbreviate $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S,f,F)$ to $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ and write $f_{ev},F_{ev},\mathcal{F}_e$ and $\mathcal{F}_v$ for $f(e,v),F(e,v),\mathcal{F}(e)$ and $\mathcal{F}(v)$ respectively.

We will also say that $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ is *a tree of saturated fusion systems* if each edge and vertex fusion system $\mathcal{F}_e$ and $\mathcal{F}_v$ is saturated. In order to motivate Definition 3.3 we consider what happens in the case where a tree of fusion systems comes from a tree of finite groups:

Lemma 3.4 *Let $(\mathcal{T},G,f)$ be a tree of finite groups.*

(a) There exists a choice of Sylow p-subgroups, $S_v\in Syl_p(G_v)$ and $S_e\in Syl_p(G_e)$, which renders $(\mathcal{T},S,f|_S)$ a tree of finite p-groups.

(b) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the map which assigns respectively fusion systems $\mathcal{F}_{S_v}(G_v)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{S_e}(G_e)$ to vertices v and edges e of $\mathcal{T}$. There exists a unique map F which renders $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S,f,F)$ a tree of saturated fusion systems.

Proof (a) is a straightforward consequence of Sylow's theorem. To see (b), for a vertex v of $\mathcal{T}$ incident to an edge e write $\alpha:=f_{ev}|_{S_e}\in\mathrm{Inj}(S_e,S_v)$. Then α induces a map $F_{ev}:\mathcal{F}_e\to\mathcal{F}_v$ which sends an object $A\leqslant S_e$ to $A\alpha\leqslant S_v$ and a morphism $c_g\in\mathrm{Hom}_{G_e}(A,B)$ to $\alpha^{-1}\circ c_g\circ\alpha=c_{gf_{ev}}\in\mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(A\alpha,B\alpha)$ for each $A,B\leqslant S_e$. F_{ev} is clearly an injective functor since f_{ev} is a group monomorphism. The fact that each $\mathcal{F}_e$ and $\mathcal{F}_v$ is saturated is a standard fact whose proof can be found in [1, Theorem 2.3]. □

In the situation of Lemma 3.4 (b), we will call $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S,f,F)$ a *tree of fusion systems induced by* $(\mathcal{T},G,f)$.

We would like to define the *completion* of a tree of fusion systems $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ to be a fusion system on the completion $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ of the underlying graph of groups. Of course,

$S_{\mathcal{T}}$ will in general not be a p-group. For example when $p = 2$, let $\mathcal{T}$ consist of a single edge $v \sim_e w$, let $S_v \cong S_w \cong C_2$ and $S_e \cong \{e\}$ with the obvious injections into the vertex groups. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}} \cong C_2 * C_2 \cong D_\infty$. In order to avoid such examples we impose an additional hypothesis on $(\mathcal{T}, S)$:

Hypothesis (H)*: There exists a vertex* $v_* \in V(\mathcal{T})$ *with the property that whenever* $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $S_e f_{ev} = S_v$ *where* e *is the edge incident to* v *in the unique minimal path from* v *to* v_*.

The following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose that* $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ *is a tree of finite* p*-groups which satisfies* (H). *Then* $S_{\mathcal{T}} \cong S_{v_*}$ *is a finite* p*-group.*

From now on, whenever $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ is a tree of groups or $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ is a tree of fusion systems and e is an edge incident to a vertex v, we will suppress mention of the maps f_{ev} and functors F_{ev} by identifying each G_e with a subgroup of G_v and each fusion system $\mathcal{F}_e$ with a subsystem of $\mathcal{F}_v$. In particular when $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ satisfies (H) we may regard each S_v as a subgroup of S_{v_*}.

We define the completion of a tree of fusion systems as follows:

Definition 3.6 Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ be a tree of fusion systems, and suppose that $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ satisfies (H). Let

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{v \in V(\mathcal{T})} \{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_v}(P, S_v) \mid P \leqslant S_v\}.$$

The *completion* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the fusion system $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_{S_{\mathcal{T}}}$.

3.2 The Orbit Graph of a Tree of Groups and the Proof of Theorem 1.1

We introduce the *orbit graph* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ for a tree $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ of finite groups:

Definition 3.7 Let $(\mathcal{T}, G)$ be a tree of finite groups with completion $G_{\mathcal{T}}$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ the graph with:

$$V(\tilde{T}) := \bigcup_{v \in V(\mathcal{T})} G_{\mathcal{T}}/G_v \text{ and } E(\tilde{T}) := \bigcup_{e \in E(\mathcal{T})} G_{\mathcal{T}}/G_e,$$

where $G_v g \sim_{G_e i} G_w h$ if and only if $v \sim_e w$ and $i \in G_v g \cap G_w h$.

In [9], Serre establishes the following basic facts about this object:

Theorem 3.8 *If* $(\mathcal{T},G)$ *be a tree of finite groups with completion* $G_{\mathcal{T}}$*. Then* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *is a tree,* $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ *acts on* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *and* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}/G_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \mathcal{T}$*.*

Proof See [9, Theorem 4.5.9]. □

At this point, we are almost in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. Since this result is proved by induction, we first describe the case where the tree in question consists of a single edge by quoting a basic lemma from [8].

Lemma 3.9 *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be a tree consisting of two vertices* v,w *and a single edge* e *connecting them. Form a tree of groups* $(\mathcal{T},G)$ *where we write* $A := G_v$*,* $B := G_w$ *and* $C := G_e$*. Let* $X \leqslant A$ *and* $g \in G\backslash A$ *be such that* $X^g \leqslant A$ *or* $X^g \leqslant B$*. Writing* $g = a_0b_1a_1...b_sa_sb_\infty$ *where* $a_i \in A\backslash C$ *and* $b_i \in B\backslash C$ *for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant S, a_0 \in A$ *and* $b_\infty \in B$*, we have*

$$\langle X_0, X_i, Y_i \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s\rangle \leqslant C$$

where $X_0 = X^{a_0}, Y_1 = X_0^{b_1}, X_1 = Y_1^{a_1}$*, and so on.*

Proof See [8, Lemma 1]. □

We can now prove Theorem 1.1:

Proof We first prove that S_{v_*} is a Sylow p-subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{T}}$. Note that the hypotheses on $(\mathcal{T},G)$ clearly imply that (H) holds for $(\mathcal{T},S)$ and hence that S_{v_*} is finite. Let P be a finite p-subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ and consider the image I of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P$ in $\mathcal{T}$ under the quotient map $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathcal{T}$ of Theorem 3.8. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a tree, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P$ is also a tree, so that I must be connected. Let $v \in I$ be a vertex which is of minimal distance from v_*. If $v \neq v_*$ then by definition there is some $g \in G$ such that $G_vg \in (G/G_v)^P$ and hence $gPg^{-1} \leqslant G_v$. Let e be the edge incident to v in the minimal path to v_*. Since $p \nmid |G_v : G_e|$, there is $g' \in G$ such that $g'Pg'^{-1} \leqslant G_e$. This proves that there exists a vertex closer to v_* than v, a contradiction. Hence $v = v_*$ and P is G-conjugate to a subgroup of S, as needed.

Next we prove that (b) holds. Observe that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ since each morphism in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a composite of restrictions of morphisms in the $\mathcal{F}_v$ each of which clearly lies in $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$. Hence it remains to prove that $\mathcal{F}_S(G) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. We proceed by induction on the number of vertices $|\mathcal{T}|$ of $\mathcal{T}$, the result being clear in the case where $|\mathcal{T}| = 1$. Suppose that $|\mathcal{T}| > 1$ and fix an extremal vertex, v of $\mathcal{T}$ not equal to v_*. Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be the tree obtained from $\mathcal{T}$ by removing v and the edge e incident to v. Clearly $G_{\mathcal{T}} = G_{\mathcal{T}'} *_{G_e} G_v$, and by induction, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}'} = \mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}'})$ so that since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}'}, \mathcal{F}_{S_v}(G_v) \rangle$, it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{F}_S(G_{\mathcal{T}'} *_{G_e} G_v) \subseteq \langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}'}, \mathcal{F}_{S_v}(G_v) \rangle.$$

Let $X \leqslant S$ and suppose that $\langle X, X^g \rangle \leqslant S$ with $g \in \mathcal{G}_T$. If $g \in G_{\mathcal{T}'}$ then we are done, so suppose that $g \notin G_{\mathcal{T}'}$ and write

$$g = g_{-\infty} h_1 g_1 ... h_r g_r h_{r+1} g_{\infty}$$

where $g_{-\infty}, g_{\infty} \in G_{\mathcal{T}'}, g_i \in G_{\mathcal{T}'} \backslash G_e$ and $h_i \in G_v \backslash G_e$. Set $X^* = X^{g_{-\infty}}$. Then $\langle X^*, (X^*)^{h_1 g_1 ... h_r g_r h_{r+1}} \rangle \leqslant G_{\mathcal{T}'}$ so that by Lemma 3.9 ,

$$X^*, (X^*)^{h_1}, (X^*)^{h_1 g_1}, \dots, (X^*)^{h_1 g_1 ... h_r g_r h_{r+1}} \leqslant G_e,$$

and therefore each of the above groups lies in S_e since $S_e \trianglelefteq G_e$ by assumption. Now, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, conjugation from $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_i}$ to $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_i g_i}$ is carried out in the fusion system of $G_{\mathcal{T}'}$ and conjugation from $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_i g_i}$ to $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_i g_i h_{i+1}}$ is carried out in the fusion system of G_v. Finally conjugation from $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_r g_r h_{r+1}}$ to $(X^*)^{h_1 ... h_r g_r h_{r+1} g_{\infty}}$ is carried out in the fusion system of $G_{\mathcal{T}'}$. The result follows. □

3.3 The Orbit Graph of a Tree of Fusion Systems

Next, we construct the graph $\text{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$ associated to a tree of fusion systems $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ and any finite p-group P. Note that this graph can be shown to be a natural analogue of the graph $\tilde{T}^P / C_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}(P)$ for trees of groups and the reader is referred to [3, Lemma 4.1] for a proof of this fact. We start by defining the set $\text{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F})$ of *representations* of P on a saturated fusion system $\mathcal{F}$:

Definition 3.10 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a fusion system on a finite p-group S and let P be any other finite p-group. Define an equivalence $\sim$ on $\text{Hom}(P, S)$ by setting $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if there is some $\gamma \in \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}}(P\alpha, P\beta)$ with $\alpha \circ \gamma = \beta$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Hom}(P, S)$. and write

$$\text{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F}) := \text{Hom}(P, S)/ \sim .$$

For each $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(P, S)$ we write $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}}$ to denote the orbit of α in $\text{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F})$. Furthermore if $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a fusion system containing $\mathcal{F}$, we set $\text{Rep}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}(P, \mathcal{F}) := \text{Hom}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}}(P, S)/ \sim$.

Using this definition we introduce the following graph, which will play a crucial role in the next section:

Definition 3.11 Let P be an arbitrary p-group and $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ be a tree of fusion systems. Set $R := \mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$ to be the graph with

$$V(R) = \bigcup_{v \in V(\mathcal{T})} \mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F}_v) \text{ and } E(R) = \bigcup_{e \in E(\mathcal{T})} \mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F}_e),$$

where $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \sim_{[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_e}} [\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$ if and only if $v \sim_e w$, $[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_v} = [\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$ and $[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_w} = [\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$.

Lemma 3.12 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ be a tree of fusion systems and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its completion. Assume further that $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ satisfies (H) and fix $P \leqslant S_{v_*}$.*

- (a) *The connected component of the vertex $[\iota_P^{S_{v_*}}]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}}$ in $Rep(P, \mathcal{T})$ is isomorphic to $Rep_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$.*
- (b) *The natural map*
$$\Phi_P : \pi_0(Rep(P, \mathcal{T})) \longrightarrow Rep(P, \mathcal{F})$$
is a bijection.

Proof First observe that since $\mathcal{T}$ is finite and S_v is finite for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$, $\mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$ has finitely many vertices and edges. Set $v_0 = v_*$ and $\alpha_0 = \iota_P^{S_{v_0}}$ and let

$$[\alpha_0]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_0}} \sim_{[\beta_1]_{\mathcal{F}_{e_1}}} [\alpha_1]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_1}} \sim_{[\beta_2]_{\mathcal{F}_{e_2}}} [\alpha_2]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_2}} \sim \cdots \sim [\alpha_n]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_n}}$$

be a path in $\mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$. We need to show that each vertex $[\alpha_i]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}}$ lies in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$. Clearly $[\alpha_0]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_0}} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$, so assume that $n \geqslant 1$, and that $[\alpha_i]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$ for some $i < n$. If

$$[\alpha_i]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}} \sim_{[\beta_{i+1}]_{\mathcal{F}_{e_{i+1}}}} [\alpha_{i+1}]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_{i+1}}}$$

then there exist maps $\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}}(P\alpha_i, P\beta_{i+1})$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{v_{i+1}}}(P\beta_{i+1}, P\alpha_{i+1})$ such that $\alpha_i \circ \gamma = \beta_{i+1}$ and $\beta_{i+1} \circ \delta = \alpha_{i+1}$. Hence $\gamma \circ \delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P\alpha_i, P\alpha_{i+1})$ so that $[\alpha_{i+1}]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_{i+1}}} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$. This proves that $[\alpha_i]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Conversely suppose that $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, S_{v_*})$: by the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ there exist maps $\alpha_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{v_i}}(P\alpha_{i-1}, P\alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_0 = \iota_P^{S_{v_0}}$ such that $v_i \in V(\mathcal{T})$ and $\alpha = \alpha_0 \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_n$. This in turn is equivalent to the existence of a path in $\mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$,

$$[\alpha_0]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_0}} \sim_{[\alpha_0]_{\mathcal{F}_{e_1}}} [\alpha_0\alpha_1]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_1}} \sim_{[\alpha_0\alpha_1]_{\mathcal{F}_{e_2}}} [\alpha_0\alpha_1\alpha_2]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_2}} \sim \cdots \sim [\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_n}},$$

which proves (a).

Next, we prove (b). To see that Φ_P is well defined, assume that $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \sim_{[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_e}} [\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$ is an edge in $\mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$. It suffices to show that $[\alpha] = [\beta] \in \mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F})$. Note that by definition, there exist $\gamma_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_v}(P\alpha, P\gamma)$ and $\gamma_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_w}(P\beta, P\gamma)$ such that

$$P\alpha\gamma_1 = P\gamma = P\beta\gamma_2.$$

Hence $\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P\alpha, P\beta)$ and $[\beta] = [\alpha\gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1}] = [\alpha] \in \mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{F})$.

Now suppose that $[\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$ and $[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$ are both sent to $[\alpha]$ under Φ_P. Then $[\alpha^{-1}\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$, $[\alpha^{-1}\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_w} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P\alpha, \mathcal{T})$. By part (a), this graph is connected so that there must exist a path joining $[\alpha^{-1}\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$ to $[\alpha^{-1}\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$ in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P\alpha, \mathcal{T})$. This statement is easily seen to be equivalent to the existence of a path joining $[\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$ to $[\gamma]_{\mathcal{F}_w}$ in $\mathrm{Rep}(P, \mathcal{T})$ and this proves that $\Phi_P^{-1}([\alpha])$ has exactly one connected component, as needed. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. First we recall a standard fact involving the action of a group on a tree with finitely many vertices:

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tree with finitely many vertices and let $G \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{T})$. Write $n = d(\mathcal{T})$ for the diameter of $\mathcal{T}$. Then G fixes a vertex of $\mathcal{T}$ if n is odd and an edge of $\mathcal{T}$ if n is even. Furthermore, the subgraph $\mathcal{T}^G$ spanned by all vertices and edges of $\mathcal{T}$ fixed by G is also a tree.*

Proof One usually proves both of these statements by induction on n. Here, we supply a different proof: Fix a maximal path α in $\mathcal{T}$ and an element $g \in G$. Then αg is also a maximal path. If α and αg do not intersect one obtains a contradiction to the maximal length of α by first considering a minimal path from any vertex in α to one in αg, and then traversing the appropriate ray. Furthermore the overlapping region contains the mid-vertex or edge of α according to whether $|\alpha|$ is odd or even respectively. Since g was arbitrary and $|\alpha| = n$, the first part of the Lemma now follows.

For the second part, if G fixes a pair of vertices x and y it must permute the paths between them. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a tree there is a unique path from x to y. Therefore this must be fixed and in particular $\mathcal{T}^G$ is connected. If G fixes an edge e then it must swap the connected components of $\mathcal{T} \backslash \{e\}$, so that $\mathcal{T}^G = \{e\}$ in this case. □

We now prove a useful technical lemma which will help establish axiom (b) in Definition 2.3 in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.2 *Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ be a tree of saturated fusion systems and write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ for the completion of $\mathcal{T}$. Assume further that $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ satisfies (H). Let Q be a finite*

p-group with $P \trianglelefteq Q$ and write $K := \mathrm{Aut}_Q(P)$. If $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ is a tree then the image of the restriction map

$$R : \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$$

which sends a vertex or edge $[\varphi]$ to $[\varphi|_P]$ is equal to $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})^K$. Here $c_g \in K$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ by sending a vertex or edge $[\varphi]$ to $[c_g \circ \varphi]$.

Proof If $[\alpha] \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,\mathcal{T})$ then $[\alpha|_P] \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})^K$ since $c_g \circ \alpha|_P = \alpha|_P \circ (\alpha^{-1}|_{P\alpha} \circ c_g \circ \alpha|_P)$ for each $g \in Q$. Hence it suffices to establish the converse.

Observe that $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ is a tree by assumption, and Lemma 4.1 implies that $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})^K$ is also tree. Hence, since $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,\mathcal{T})$ is connected, it is enough to show that for each edge $v \sim_e$ in $\mathcal{T}$, whenever $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_e} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})^K$ and $[\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,\mathcal{T})$ with $[\beta|_P]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \sim_{[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_e}}$ there is $[\overline{\alpha}]_{\mathcal{F}_e} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q,\mathcal{T})$ such that $[\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \sim_{[\overline{\alpha}]_{\mathcal{F}_e}}$.

To see this, note that by definition there is $\gamma \in \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}_v}(P\alpha, P\beta)$ with $\beta|_P = \alpha \circ \gamma$. Since $P\beta$ is $\mathcal{F}_v$-centric, it is also fully $\mathcal{F}_v$-centralised and since $\mathcal{F}_v$ is saturated, γ extends to a map $\overline{\gamma} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_v}(N_\gamma, S_v)$. Observe that $N_{S_v}^{K^\alpha}(P\alpha) \leqslant N_\gamma$ since if $g \in N_{S_v}^{K^\alpha}(P\alpha)$ then $c_g \in K^\alpha$, so that

$$c_g^\gamma \in K^{\alpha\gamma} = K^\beta = \mathrm{Aut}_{Q\beta}(P\beta) \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}_{S_v}(P\beta) = \mathrm{Aut}_{S_v}(P\alpha\gamma).$$

Since $Q\beta \leqslant (N_{S_v}^{K^\alpha}(P\alpha))\overline{\gamma}$, we have that $Q\beta \leqslant (N_\gamma)\overline{\gamma}$. Thus we may set $\overline{\alpha} := \beta \circ \overline{\gamma}^{-1}|_{Q\beta}$, so that $\overline{\alpha}|_P = \alpha$, $[\overline{\alpha}]_{\mathcal{F}_v} = [\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v}$ and $[\beta]_{\mathcal{F}_v} \sim_{[\overline{\alpha}]_{\mathcal{F}_e}}$ as needed. □

We now prove Theorem 1.2 which we restate here for convenience:

Theorem 4.3 *Let $(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{F},S)$ be a tree of saturated fusion systems and write $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ for the completion of $\mathcal{T}$. Assume that $(\mathcal{T},S)$ satisfies (H) and that the following two conditions hold:*

(a) If P is $\mathcal{F}$-conjugate to an $\mathcal{F}_v$-essential subgroup then P is $\mathcal{F}$-centric;

(b) $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ is a tree for all $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups $P \leqslant S$.

Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a saturated fusion system on S_{v_*}.

Proof Write $S = S_{v_*}$ and observe that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a fusion system on $S_{\mathcal{T}} = S$. By Theorem 2.6, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is generated by automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}_v$-essential subgroups and automorphisms of the groups S_v for each $v \in V(\mathcal{T})$. By assumption each such subgroup is $\mathcal{F}$-centric so that by Theorem 2.7 it remains to verify Definition 2.3 for the $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroups.

First we prove Definition 2.3 (a) is satisfied. Let P be a fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised, $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroup of S. Then since $|C_S(P\varphi)| = |Z(P\varphi)| = |Z(P)|$ for each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,S)$, P is certainly fully $\mathcal{F}$-centralised so that it suffices to prove that P is fully $\mathcal{F}$-automised. Note that each $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ acts on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ by sending a vertex or edge $[\alpha]$ to $[\varphi \circ \alpha]$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ is finite and $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ is a tree by assumption, there exists some vertex or edge $[\alpha]_{\mathcal{F}_r} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})$ (where $r \in V(\mathcal{T}) \cup E(\mathcal{T})$) fixed by $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$. This means that $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_r}(P\alpha) = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)^{\alpha}$.

Choose $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_r}(P\alpha, S_r)$ so that $P\alpha\beta$ is fully $\mathcal{F}_r$-normalised. Since $\mathcal{F}_r$ is saturated, $\mathrm{Aut}_{S_r}(P\alpha\beta) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_r}(P\alpha\beta))$. Now

$$|\mathrm{Aut}_S(P)| = |N_S(P)|/|Z(P)| \geqslant |N_S(P\alpha\beta)|/|Z(P\alpha\beta)| \geqslant |\mathrm{Aut}_{S_r}(P\alpha\beta)|,$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that P is fully $\mathcal{F}$-normalised. Since

$$|\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_r}(P\alpha\beta)| = |\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}_r}(P\alpha)| = |\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P)|,$$

we must have that $\mathrm{Aut}_S(P) \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(P))$, as needed.

Next we prove that (b) holds in Definition 2.3. Fix $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,S)$ with P an $\mathcal{F}$-centric subgroup. We need to show that there is $\overline{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_\varphi, S)$ which extends φ.

Note that by the definition of N_φ, if $c_g \in K$ then $c_g \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ c_h$ for some $h \in \mathrm{Aut}_S(P\varphi)$, which proves that $[\varphi]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}}$ is fixed by the action of K and hence lies in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,\mathcal{T})^K$. By Lemma 4.2 applied with $Q = N_\varphi$ there is some $[\psi]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}} \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_\varphi, \mathcal{T})$ with $[\psi|_P]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}} = [\varphi]_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}}$. Choose $\rho \in \mathrm{Iso}_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}}(P\varphi, P\psi)$ such that $\psi|_P = \varphi \circ \rho|_{P\varphi}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_{v_*}$ is saturated, $\rho^{-1}|_{P\psi} = (\psi|_P)^{-1} \circ \varphi$ extends to a map $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_{v_*}}((N_\varphi)\psi, S)$. Hence we set $\overline{\varphi} := \psi \circ \chi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(N_\varphi, S)$ so that $\overline{\varphi}$ extends φ, as needed. □

We end with a brief discussion of how our results might be improved. The reader is referred to [2] for a detailed introduction to centric linking systems associated to fusion systems.

One observes that in the situation of Theorem 1.1(b), the graph $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P/C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P)$ may naturally be regarded as a classifying space for $C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P)/Z(P)$. One way to see this is by noting that $C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P)/Z(P)$ acts freely on $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P$. In fact one can go further and show, using [1, Theorem III.3.2], that when P is fully $\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_\mathcal{T})$-centralised there exists an equivalence

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^P/C_{G_\mathcal{T}}(P))^\wedge_p \simeq |C_\mathcal{L}(P)/Z(P)|^\wedge_p,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a centric linking system associated to the fusion system $\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_\mathcal{T})$ (assuming one exists) and $C_\mathcal{L}(P)$ is a certain sublinking system of $\mathcal{L}$ associated to the centraliser fusion system $C_{\mathcal{F}}(P)$ (see [2, Section 2]). Since $p \nmid |C_{G_{\mathcal{T}}}(P)/Z(P)|$ whenever P is an $\mathcal{F}_{S_{v_*}}(G_{\mathcal{T}})$-centric subgroup, this provides some insight into why condition (c) in Theorem 1.2 is necessary to force saturation. In light of this, and the recent result due to Andrew Chermak that every saturated fusion system has associated to it a unique centric linking system [4], we find it appropriate to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.4 *Let* $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ *be a tree of fusion systems and assume that* $(\mathcal{T}, S)$ *satisfies* (H). *If* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ *is a saturated fusion system on* S_{v_*} *and* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a centric linking system associated to* $\mathcal{F}$ *then* $Rep_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})^{\wedge}_{p} \simeq |C_{\mathcal{L}}(P)/Z(P)|^{\wedge}_{p}$ *for each fully* $\mathcal{F}$*-centralised subgroup* P *of* S_{v_*}.

Furthermore, assuming $(G, \mathcal{T})$ is an appropriately chosen tree of groups, condition (b) in [3, Proposition 3.3] implies that the following holds in the case where $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}, S)$ is induced by $(G, \mathcal{T})$:

Conjecture 4.5 *One may replace condition (c) in Theorem 1.2 by:*

$$Rep_{\mathcal{F}}(P, \mathcal{T})^{\wedge}_{p} \text{ is contractible for all } \mathcal{F}\text{-centric subgroups } P \leqslant S.$$

References

[1] M. Aschbacher, R. Kessar and B. Oliver, *Fusion Systems in Algebra and Topology*, London Math Soc. Lecture Note Series: 391, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2011).

[2] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver, The homotopy theory of fusion systems, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16 (2003), 779-856.

[3] C. Broto, R. Levi, and B. Oliver, A geometric construction of saturated fusion systems, *An alpine anthology of homotopy theory* (proceedings Arolla 2004), Contemp. math. 399 (2006), 11-39.

[4] A. Chermak, Fusion Systems and Localities, *preprint*.

[5] D. Goldschmidt, A conjugation family for finite groups, *J. Algebra*, Volume 16 (1970), 138-142.

[6] I. Leary, R. Stancu, Realising fusion systems, *preprint.*

[7] B. Oliver, Simple fusion systems over p-groups with abelian subgroup of index p, *preprint*.

[8] G. Robinson, Amalgams, blocks, weights, fusion systems and finite simple groups, *J. Algebra*, Volume 314, Issue 2 (2007), 912-923.

[9] J.P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heildelberg (1980).

[10] L. Puig, Frobenius categories. *J. Algebra*, Volume 303 (2006), 309-357.

Mathematical Institute, 24–29 St. Giles', Oxford, OX1 3LB
semeraro@maths.ox.ac.uk
www.maths.ox.ac.uk/people/profiles/jason.semeraro